TO 0017136234846

P.31

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

BOULT WADE TENNANT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

FROM

To: BOULT WADE TENNANT Attn. Setna, Rohan P. Verulam Gardens 70 Gray's Inn Road London WC1X 8BT UNITED KINGDOM

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

In Diary	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 12/01/2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference P62725W000	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/GB2004/003379	International filing date (day/month/year) 06/08/2004
Applicant Tullian	·

	1. 🗷	The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.
		Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international Application (see Rule 46):
		When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmitted of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
		Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35
		For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.
	2. 🔲	The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
	3.	With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
	_	the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
		no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
l	4. Ren	ninders
	Sho Inter appl	rily after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the matternational Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90 bis.1 and 90 bis.3, respectively, ication, or of the priority dalm, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90 bis.1 and 90 bis.3, respectively, we the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.
	The Inte inte	applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the mational Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an mational preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to make the properties of 30 months from the priority date.
	With exa date acts	nin 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary mination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority of its come Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed to for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.
	mo	espect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 nths.
	Sec Gu	e the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits. Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Ide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (431-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 10 JAN 2005 Maria Zinburgova (See notes on accompanying sheet)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one apportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, international application, it should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application procedure, there is usually no need to fite amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international phulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 morths from the date of transmittel of the international search report or 15 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Fluie 46.1).

Where not to file the amondments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been in filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amondments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Latter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended, it must, in perticular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is now;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples litustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:
 "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in caroelling some claims and in adding new claims):
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words it in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended, it must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for informational preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Fo	orm PCT/ISA/220 re applicable, item 5 below.
P62725W000	ACTION		est) Priority Date (day/month/year)
International application No.	International filing date (day/mon	(tane	
PCT/GB2004/003379	06/08/2004		07/08/2003
Applicant			
DAKOWSKI, Julian	<u></u>		
This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being t	en prepared by this International Se- transmitted to the International Bure	arching Authority and au.	d is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consist	s of a total of s	neets.	•
X It is also accompanied b	y a copy of each prior art document	cited in this report.	
1	Niess otherwise indicated trider this	Ren.	
The international this Authority (F	al search was carried out on the bas rule 23.1(b)).	is of a translation of	the international application furnished to
		ce disclosed in the in	ternational application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were fo	ound unsearchable (See Box II).		
3. Unity of invention is k	acking (see Box III).		
4. With regard to the title,	•		•
	submitted by the applicant.		
	hished by this Authority to read as to	llows:	
}			
			•
5. With regard to the abstract,			
x the text is approved as	submitted by the applicant.		
=	not as a secretion to Duto 22 2/h) h	y this Authority as it national search repo	appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ort, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regards to the drawings,			
a. the figure of the drawings to b	e published with the abstract is Figu	re No1	
X as suggested	by the applicant.		
as selected by	this Authority, because the applican	it failed to suggest a	tigure.
	this Authority, because this figure b	etter characterizes t	ne mvenuon.
b none of the figures is t	o be published with the abstract.		

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 844F1/06 844C1/22 **B44B3/00** B29C33/38 //G06F17/50,G06F17/60,G05B19/4099

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 G05B B44C B44F B29C G06F B44B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Relevant to claim No.
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Helevant to contri
X	EP 1 318 003 A (MYSTIX LTD) 11 June 2003 (2003-06-11) column 5, line 58 - column 8, line 5; figures	1–25
A	GB 2 376 915 A (DELCAM PLC) 31 December 2002 (2002-12-31)	1,3,4, 14,18, 20,21, 23-25
	page 3 - page 4; figures	
Α .	EP 0 918 268 A (KRAGL DIETER) 26 May 1999 (1999-05-26) column 3, line 50 - column 6, line 29; figures	1,4,9, 10,17,20
·	-/	
_		

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
*A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the International filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority ctaim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but isster than the priority date ctaimed	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory, underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the International search	Date of mailing of the International search report
28 December 2004	12/01/2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (-31-70) 340-2040, Тх. 31 651 еро пі. Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Topalidis, A

1

PCT/GB2004/003379

Category °	chation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Chation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
A	US 4 385 360 A (YAMADA MITSURU ET AL) 24 May 1983 (1983-05-24) column 2, line 53 - column 3, line 41; figure 1	1,4,9, 10,17,20
Α	EP 0 007 125 A (FORMA GLAS GMBH CO KG) 23 January 1980 (1980-01-23) page 5, line 32 - page 7, line 13; figures	1,4,9, 10,17,20
	·	
	·	
	·	
		,

Information on patent family members

PCT/GB2004/003379

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 1318003		11-06-2003	· EP	1318003 A1	11-06-2003
Li 1010000			AT	240824 T	15-06-2003
			AU	4518699 A	26-04-2000
			BR	9914190 A	19-06-2001
			CA	2346054 A1	13-04-2000
			DE	69908160 D1	26-06-2003
			DE	69908160 T2	08-04-2004 01-08-2001
			EP	1119448 A1 2200524 T3	01-03-2001
			ES	2200524 T3 2345458 A ,B	12-07-2000
•			GB WO	0020185 A1	13-04-2000
		•	PT.	1119448 T	31-10-2003
GB 2376915	Α	31-12-2002	NONE		
		0C 0F 1000	DE	19751966 A1	27-05-1999
EP 0918268	Α	26-05-1999	EP	0918268 A2	26-05-1999
US 4385360	Α	24-05-1983	NONE		
EP 0007125	Α	23-01-1980	DE	2830189 A1	24-01-1980
LI GOOTIES	^	20 01 1900	DE	2916663 A1	06-11-1980
			CS	207305 B2	31-07-1981
			DD	144727 A5	05-11-1980
			EP	0007125 A1	23-01-1980
			JP	55047248 A	03-04-1980

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	>
€.	

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:					PCT
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
				Date of mailing (daymonth/year) s	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
1 .	licant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 be	
ì	mational application T/GB2004/00337		International filing date (d 06.08.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07.08.2003
I .	rnational Patent Clas 1F1/06, B44C1/22		both national classification B44B3/00	and IPC	
	licant KOWSKI, Julian				
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicati	ons relating to the foll	owing items;	
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op	ninion		
1	☑ Box No. II	Priority			
ļ	☐ Box No. III		ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	f invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned stat applicability; ci	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement
	Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited		
	Box No. VII		s in the international app		
!	Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application	
-					

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>a</u>))

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Topalidis, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2970



TO 0017136234846

10/567494

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003379

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

IAP20 Regid PCT/PTO 0.7 FEB 2006

	Box I	No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With I	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in nguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With r	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	mat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	h.	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
1	Additio	onal comments:

	RNATIONAL SEARC		THORIT	y .	International application No. PCT/GB2004/003379
				·	,
Во	x No. II Priority				· · ·
1. 🛭	The following document I	nas not beer	n furnishe	d:	
	□ copy of the earlie	r application	whose p	riority has been claimed (Ru	ule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).
	translation of the	earlier appli	cation wh	ose prioritý has been claime	ed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consequently it has not to nevertheless been estable	een passiblished on the	e to consi assumpt	der the validity of the priorit	ty claim. This opinion has the claimed priority date.
2. 🗆	This opinion has been es has been found invalid (Filing date indicated above	lules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1	I and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of	o the fact that the priority claim this opinion, the international
3. 🗆	was not available to the I	SA at the tin	ne that the	of the priority claim because search was conducted (Rition that the relevant date is	se a copy of the priority document ule 17.1). This opinion has
4. Ada	ditional observations, if nec				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		ooodiy.			·
• •					
Box	x No. V Reasoned state	ement unde	r Rule 43	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to	novelty, inventive step or
ind	ustrial applicability; citat	ions and e	cplanation (ns supporting such state	ment
1. Sta	tement				
Nov	velty (N)	Yes:	Claims		
		No:	Claims	1,4,18 to 21,23 to 25	
inve	entive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims		
		No:	Claims	2,3,4 to 17,22	
Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-25	
		No:	Claims		
. Cha	those and systematics.			·	
\$80	separate sheet				
					. •
	and explanations separate sheet				*

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003379

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

IAP20 Ros'd RETIPTO 07 FEB 2006 International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003379

Re Item V.

- 1. The following documents (D) are referred to in this report:
 - D1 EP-A-1 318 003
 - D2 GB-A-2 376 915
 - D3 EP-A-2 376 915

Claim 1

- 2. From D1 (see column 5, line 58 to column 7, line 38 and figures; applying the wording of claim 1) there is known a process for manufacturing an article comprising a substrate having a contoured surface and a translucent overlay, process comprising the following steps:
 - a) using a computer system 3 to generate data corresponding to a three-dimensional image (see column 6, lines 1,2: "to convert the image 1 into electronic data".
 - b) using the generated data to control an apparatus to form at least one portion of a mould 11 for defining the contoured surface of the substrate (see column 6, line 18: "to machine one half of a mould 11"),
 - c) using said mould to form at least the contoured surface of the substrate (see column 6, lines 27 to 30), and
 - d) providing the overlay over said at least one portion of the contoured surface (see claim 28).
 - D2 and D3 also disclose processes comprising the features a) to d) outlined above.
- 3 Thus it appears that the process of claim 1 is not new as required by Article 33(2) PCT.

Independent Method Claims 4,20,21

4. These claims are formulated broader than claim 1. Thus, the same objections as raised against claim 1 apply accordingly.

System and Article Claims 18,19,23-25

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003379

5. The same objections as raised against claim 1 apply accordingly.

Dependent Claims

6. The features of these claims do not seem to be of inventive relevance as they relate to details known from the prior art or seem to be conventional to a person skilled in the art.

Re Item VII.

- 1. The claims are not drafted in the two-part form as required by Rule 6.3 PCT.
- 2. Reference numerals are not added after the technical features of the claims (rule 6.2 PCT).
- 3. The description is not consistent with the claims (see Rule 5.1(a) (ii), (iii) PCT). Document D1 to D3 reflecting the most relevant prior art, are not cited by number followed by a brief summary of the relevant contents.

Re Item VIII.

The claims of the present Patent Application do not comply with the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that they are not concise. Since the process of independent claims 4,20,21 are substantially covered by that of independent process claim 1 it is not considered appropriate in the present case to have four independent claims in the same category. The same objection applies for the multiple independent "system" and article claims 18,19,23 to 25.







Application No: Claims searched:

GB 0318569.1 1 to 18, 20 to 25 Examiner: Date of search:

Damien J Huxley 22 December 2003

Patents Act 1977: Search Report under Section 17

Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Relevant to claims	Identity of document as	nd passage or figure of particular relevance
Х, У	X: 1 to 10, 12 to 14, 18, 20, 21, 24 & 25 Y: 15 & 16	GB 2376915 A	(DELCAM PLC) see the whole document,
X, Y	X: 1 to 10, 13, 14, 18, 20, 21, 24 & 25. Y: 15 & 16	US 6287492 B1	(GOLDFARB) see the entire document.
X	X: 1, 2, 5, 13, 14, 18, 20, 21 & 24	EP 0465356 A3	(LIR FRANCE SA) see the figures and WPI Abstract Accession Number 1992-010523 especially.
X, Y	X: 1, 4, 18, 20 & 21 Y: 15 & 16	WO 00/20185 A1	(MYSTIX) see the whole specification.

Categories:

- X Document indicating tack of novelty or inventive step
- A Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
- Y Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.
- P Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.

& Member of the same patent family

E Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.

Field of Search:

Search of GB, EP, WO & US patent documents classified in the following areas of the UKCV:

B5A

Worldwide search of patent documents classified in the following areas of the IPC7:

B29C, B44F, G05B

The following online and other databases have been used in the preparation of this search report:

ONLINE: WPI, EPODOC, JAPIO